

# Hodge Theory On Nearly Kähler Manifolds

March 17, 2006, Kühlungsborn

Nearly Kähler Manifolds: an introduction

## Classification of holonomies.

<b>Berger's list</b>	
<i>Holonomy</i>	<i>Geometry</i>
$SO(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^n$	Riemannian manifolds
$U(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$	Kähler manifolds
$SU(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , $n > 2$	Calabi-Yau manifolds
$Sp(n)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$	hyperkähler manifolds
$Sp(n) \times Sp(1)/\{\pm 1\}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , $n > 1$	quaternionic-Kähler manifolds
$G_2$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^7$	$G_2$ -manifolds
$Spin(7)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^8$	$Spin(7)$ -manifolds

### *“Hodge theory on manifolds with special holonomy”*

1.  $\Lambda^*(M) = \bigoplus \Lambda_\varepsilon^*(M)$  ( $\varepsilon$  - weights of representations of holonomy). Then  $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^*(\mathcal{M})$  (Chern).
2.  $dd^c$ -lemma, implying restrictions on topology (“formality”: Deligne-Griffiths-Morgan-Sullivan).
3. Solutions of Maurer-Cartan equation  $\bar{\partial}\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma, \gamma\}$  (Kodaira-Spencer, Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov).

# Holonomy of Riemannian cones

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. The **Riemannian cone** of  $M$  is

$$C(M) := (M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}, t^2g + dt^2),$$

where  $t$  denotes the coordinate on the half-line  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ .

Conical singularities of manifolds with special holonomy give special holonomy on Riemannian cones.

Suppose  $C(M)$  has special holonomy. What can we say about geometry of  $M$ ?

<b>Riemannian cones with special holonomy</b>		
<i>Holonomy of <math>C(M)</math></i>	<i>Geometry of <math>C(M)</math></i>	<i>Geometry of <math>M</math></i>
$SO(n)$	Riemannian	—
$U(n)$	Kähler	Sasakian
$SU(n)$	Calabi-Yau	Sasaki-Einstein
$Sp(n)$	hyperkähler	3-Sasakian
$Sp(n)Sp(1)$	quaternionic-Kähler	—
$G_2$	$G_2$ -manifolds	nearly Kähler
$Spin(7)$	$Spin(7)$ -manifolds	nearly $G_2$ -manifolds

# *Killing spinors on Riemannian cones*

*Not essential for understanding of today's talk, because the spinor interpretation will not be used*

Recall that we have a “Clifford multiplication map”  $TM \otimes \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ , where  $TM$  is a bundle of tangent vectors on a manifold  $M$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}$  the bundle of spinors.

“Killing spinor” on  $M$  is  $\Psi \in \mathfrak{S}$  which satisfies

$$\nabla_X(\Psi) = \lambda X\Psi$$

for all tangent fields  $X \in TM$ .

**Fact 1:** Killing spinors on  $M$  correspond uniquely to parallel spinors on  $C(M)$ .

**Fact 2:** Killing spinors on  $M$  exist only if  $M$  is an Einstein manifold, with Einstein constant  $|\lambda|^2 \geq 0$ .

**Remark:** Similarly, if  $M$  admits a *parallel* spinor,  $M$  is Ricci-Flat (follows from Weitzenböck formula).

**Remark:** In Berger's list, the following holonomies correspond to Ricci-flat manifolds:  $SU(n)$ ,  $Sp(n)$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $Spin(7)$ .

**Fact 3:**  $SU(n)$ ,  $Sp(n)$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $Spin(7)$  admit parallel spinors.

**COROLLARY:** Sasaki-Einstein, 3-Sasakian, nearly Kähler and nearly  $G_2$ -manifolds admit Killing spinors; hence Einstein.

**Proof:** Their cones admit a parallel spinor.

# Nearly Kähler manifolds

*The name is confusing.*

**The original definition:** (Alfred Gray). Let  $(M, g, I)$  be a Hermitian almost complex manifold,  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$  its Hermitian form,  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection. Then  $\nabla\omega$  lies in  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2(M)$ . Gray defined “*nearly Kähler manifolds*” as those that satisfy

$$\nabla\omega \in \Lambda^3(M) \subset \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2(M)$$

( $\nabla\omega$  is skew-symmetric).

**Trivial remark:** In this case  $d\omega = \nabla\omega$ , because  $\nabla$  is torsion-free.

“*Strictly nearly Kähler*” means that the 3-form  $\rho = d\omega$  is non-degenerate, that is, the map

$$TM \xrightarrow{\rho} \Lambda^2 M,$$

defined as  $X \mapsto \rho(X, \cdot, \cdot)$ , is injective. It is *much more restrictive condition* than the Kähler condition  $d\omega = 0$ . There is a “*splitting theorem*” in nearly Kähler geometry (due to P.-A. Nagy). It follows that strictly nearly Kähler manifolds are products of Einstein ones, hence they are real analytic and have finite-dimensional moduli, if compact.

## Some examples

1. 6-manifolds with parallel  $G_2$  cones.
2. Twistor spaces of positive quaternionic-Kähler manifolds with non-standard complex structure due to Eels and Salamon.

## Connections with totally antisymmetric torsion

Let  $\nabla_0 : \Lambda^1(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M)$  be an orthogonal connection on a Riemannian manifold. Its torsion  $T$  lies in

$$T \in \Lambda^1(M)^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(M) = \Lambda^1(M)^* \otimes \Lambda^2(M).$$

Using the metric, we identify  $\Lambda^1(M)^*$  with  $\Lambda^1(M)$  and consider  $T$  as a 3-form.

**Definition:**  $\nabla_0$  is a *connection with totally anti-symmetric torsion* if  $T$  is totally anti-symmetric.



## Connection with totally antisymmetric torsion on a nearly Kähler manifold

Let  $M$  be a nearly Kähler manifold (in the sense of Gray),  $\rho = d\omega = \nabla\omega$  the corresponding 3-form. Consider the operator

$$\theta : \Lambda^1(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2(M) \subset \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M).$$

mapping  $\xi$  to  $\rho(\xi^\sharp, \cdot, \cdot)$ , where  $\xi^\sharp$  is the dual vector field. Let  $\nabla_T$  be a new connection.

$$\nabla_T := \nabla + \frac{1}{2}\theta : \Lambda^1(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M).$$

### A trivial observation.

$\nabla_T$  preserves the Hermitian structure.

### Another trivial observation.

The torsion of  $\nabla_T$  is  $\rho$ .

*This gives...*

**A simple theorem:** Let  $M$  be a nearly Kähler manifold (in the sense of Gray). Then  $\nabla_T$  is a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion.

**A difficult theorem** (V. Kirichenko).

On nearly Kähler manifolds,

$$\nabla_T(T) = 0.$$

*The torsion is parallel.*

This is used to obtain a splitting theorem.

## Manifolds with parallel antisymmetric torsion.

Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold,  $\nabla_T$  a connection with totally antisymmetric parallel torsion  $T$ . Assume its local holonomy is irreducible.

**THEOREM:** (R. Cleyton and A. Swann, 2002)

Any such manifold is either locally homogeneous, has vanishing torsion, is nearly  $G_2$  (in dimension 7) or nearly Kähler (in dimension 6).

**Remark:** The last two cases are ones we have seen in the classification of cones with special holonomy.

*This is an antisymmetric torsion analogue of Berger's theorem on irreducible holonomies.*

This is used to obtain splitting for nearly Kähler manifolds.

**COROLLARY:** (P.-A. Nagy, 2002)

Let  $M$  be a nearly Kähler manifold, in the sense of Gray. Then  $M$  is locally a product of the following nearly Kähler types.

1. *Homogeneous (classified by J.B. Butruille in 2004)*
2. *Twistor spaces of positive quaternionic-Kähler manifolds*
3. *6-dimensional nearly Kähler*

**Remark:** The positive quaternionic-Kähler manifolds and their twistors are (conjecturally) symmetric. Hence the only interesting example of “nearly Kähler” is 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds.

*In modern literature, “nearly Kähler” usually denotes a 6-dimensional Hermitian manifold with  $\nabla\omega$  antisymmetric. We shall always assume “6-dimensional”.*

# Nearly Kähler manifolds

*The many definitions of NK-manifolds*

## “A well-known theorem:”

Let  $(M, I, \omega)$  be a Hermitian almost complex 6-manifold. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. *The form  $\nabla\omega \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2(M)$  is non-zero and totally skew-symmetric (that is,  $\nabla\omega$  is a 3-form).*
2. *The structure group of  $M$  admits a reduction to  $SU(3)$ , that is, there is  $(3,0)$ -form  $\Omega$  with  $|\Omega| = 1$ , and*

$$d\omega = 3\lambda \operatorname{Re} \Omega, \quad d\operatorname{Im} \Omega = -2\lambda\omega^2$$

*where  $\lambda$  is a non-zero real constant.*

## Another well-known theorem:

Let  $M$  be a Riemannian 6-manifold. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  *$M$  admits a nearly Kähler Hermitian structure.*
2.  *$M$  admits a Killing spinor.*
3. *The Riemannian cone  $C(M)$  has holonomy  $G_2$ .*

**Remark:** Let  $M$  be nearly Kähler. Unless  $C(M)$  is flat, and  $M$  is  $S^6$ , the almost complex structure is uniquely determined by the metric (Friedrich). Conversely, the metric is uniquely determined by the almost complex structure.

## Examples of nearly Kähler manifolds (all four of them)

1. The sphere  $S^6$ . Its cone is  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .

2 and 3.

$\mathbb{C}P^3$  and the flag variety  $F(2, 1)$ . These are twistor spaces for self-dual Einstein manifolds  $S^4$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , we take the Eels-Salamon almost complex structure.

4.  $S^3 \times S^3$ .

*No non-homogeneous compact examples (so far).*

## Geometry of NK-manifolds

**A trivial remark:** An NK-manifold is never integrable. Indeed,  $d\omega^{1,1} = 3\lambda \operatorname{Re}\Omega^{3,0}$ . In fact, the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$$

is *invertible* (unless  $\lambda = 0$ ).

**Another trivial remark:** If  $\lambda = 0$ , the NK-equations *degenerate to equations defining Calabi-Yau*.

Let

$$d = d^{2,-1} + d^{1,0} + d^{0,1} + d^{-1,2},$$

be the Hodge decomposition of de Rham differential. Clearly,  $d^{2,0}$  *is the Nijenhuis tensor*.

**Notation:** We use

$$d^{2,-1} =: N, \quad d^{-1,2} =: \bar{N}, \quad d^{1,0} =: \partial, \quad d^{0,1} =: \bar{\partial}.$$

## Kähler identities on NK-manifolds

The usual Kähler identities take a form *“a commutator of some Hodge component of de Rham differential with the Hodge operator  $\Lambda$  is proportional to a Hermitian adjoint of some other Hodge component of de Rham differential”*.

On nearly Kähler, we have the same set of identities,

$$[\Lambda_\omega, \partial] = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial}^*, \quad [\Lambda_\omega, \bar{\partial}] = -\sqrt{-1} \partial^*$$

plus

$$[\Lambda_\omega, N] = \sqrt{-1} 2\bar{N}^*, \quad [\Lambda_\omega, \bar{N}] = -\sqrt{-1} 2N^*$$

In addition, we have

$$[L_\Omega, \Lambda_\omega] = \lambda N.$$

*The proof is similar to the one used to obtain the usual Kähler identities.*



## Laplacian operators on NK-manifolds

**Notation:** For an operator  $v$  on a Hermitian space, let  $\Delta_v$  denote  $vv^* + v^*v$ .

### THEOREM:

On a nearly Kähler manifold, we have

$$\Delta_{\partial} - \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = R$$

where  $R$  is a scalar operator, acting on  $(p, q)$ -forms as a multiplication with  $\lambda^2(p - q)(3 - p - q)$ .

### THEOREM:

On a nearly Kähler manifold, we have

$$\Delta_d - \Delta_{\partial - \bar{\partial}} = \Delta_N + \Delta_{\bar{N}} = \Delta_{N + \bar{N}}$$

*Compare:* On a Kähler manifold

$$2\Delta_{\partial} = 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = \Delta_d = \Delta_{\partial - \bar{\partial}}$$

*The relations between Laplacians follow from the Kähler identities in a standard way.*

## Hodge theory on NK-manifolds

*Use the comparison formulas for Laplacians to obtain a Hodge decomposition theorem.*

**THEOREM:** Let  $M$  be a compact nearly Kähler manifold, and  $\eta = \bigoplus \eta^{p,q}$  a differential form. Then  $\eta$  is harmonic if and only if all its Hodge components  $\eta^{p,q}$  are harmonic and primitive.

**Proof:** Let  $\eta \in \ker \Delta_v$  (for some  $v$ ). Then

$$0 = (\Delta_v \eta, \eta) = (vv^* \eta + v^* v \eta, \eta) = (v \eta, v \eta) + (v^* \eta, v^* \eta).$$

In other words,  $\eta \in \ker \Delta_v$  if and only if  $\eta \in \ker v \cap \ker v^*$ , and  $(\Delta_v \eta, \eta) > 0$  otherwise.

From the second comparison formula, the same positivity argument gives

$$0 = (\Delta_d \eta, \eta) = (\Delta_{\partial - \bar{\partial}} \eta, \eta) + (\Delta_N \eta, \eta) + (\Delta_{\bar{N}} \eta, \eta) \geq 0$$

hence

$$\eta \in \ker N, \quad \eta \in \ker \bar{N}, \quad \eta \in \ker(\partial - \bar{\partial}).$$

Then

$$0 = d\eta = (N + \partial + \bar{\partial} + \bar{N})\eta = (\partial + \bar{\partial})\eta = 0$$

Adding  $(\partial - \bar{\partial})\eta = 0$ , obtain  $\partial\eta = 0$ . Then all Hodge components of  $d$  vanish on  $\eta$ :

$$d^{2,-1}\eta = d^{1,0}\eta = d^{0,1}\eta = d^{-1,2}\eta = 0.$$

However, the  $(p + i, q + j)$ -th component of  $d^{i,j}\eta$  is  $d^{i,j}\eta^{p,q}$ , hence

$$d^{i,j}\eta^{p,q} = 0$$

for all  $i, j, p, q$ . Then  $d\eta^{p,q} = 0$ . The same argument is used to show  $d^*\eta^{p,q} = 0$ .

## Possible applications

*“Algebraic geometry of nearly Kähler manifolds.”*

0. Hitchin’s functional on the moduli of almost complex manifolds.
1. Yang-Mills bundles (Hermitian bundles with curvature two-form which satisfies  $\Lambda\Theta = 0$ ).
2. The space of pseudoholomorphic curves in NK-manifold. Its connected components are *compact*.
3. Finding solutions of Maurer-Cartan equation with applications to the moduli of nearly Kähler manifolds.